

## Management Follow-up Response Matrix

**Evaluation title/year:** Mid-term internal independent evaluation of the project "Counter-Trafficking in Persons Activity, C-TIP"/2022

Person or entity responsible for completing the management follow-up response matrix: **Hyewon Yi, Programme Officer** 

Evaluation recommendation 1: Throughout remainder of project implementation, as well as in other ongoing and future projects, IOM is recommended to continue promoting with the government partners a high-level priority attention given to CT through regular high-level meetings.

Recommendation to:	Priority level (1 to 3):
Project management, Senior management	3

Management response (Accept/Partially Accept/Reject)

## Accept

Key action	Time frame or deadline	Responsible individual or unit(s)	Implementati Comments or action taken	on monitoring Status
Provide support to APLTP and Ministry of Human Rights for the enactment of the anti- trafficking law	May-June 2022	MPA		
Evaluation recommendation 2: As this is the last year of project implementation, IOM is recommended to closely discuss and critically assess as to whether training (development of				

training curriculum on investigation and prosecution of TiP, delivery of training of trainers,

development of protocols between police and prosecutors) can still be delivered based on the different laws that address TiP.

Recommendation to:

Priority level (1 to 3):



Project management		1		
	-			

Management response (Accept/Partially Accept/Reject)

**Partially Accept.** With the different laws that address TiP, training modules on investigation and prosecution can be developed. Provided that the C-TIP project is based on the milestones and this activity is part of the milestone, IOM plans to go ahead and deliver the training otherwise IOM will not be reimbursed for the cost.

Key actions	actions Time frame or Responsible deadline unit(s)		Implementation monitoring		
	deadime	unic(s)		Comments or action taken	Status
Schedule a donor meeting for the modification of award if needed	September 2022	MPA			
		-		e study, given that it wa I to accelerate its finali	
Recommendation t	o:		Prio	rity level (1 to 3):	
IP NORC		2			
Management respo	onse (Accept/Partia	ally Accept/Re	ject)		
Accept					
Key actions	Time frame or	Responsible		Implementati	on monitoring
	deadline	unit(s)		Comments or action taken	Status
Regular exchange with NORC to prevent further delay and provide IOM's comments as soon as possible in order	May 2022	MPA			



to finalize the study						
Evaluation recommendation 4: IOM is recommended to develop a detailed workplan including responsible parties and update it quarterly (e.g., prior to donor reporting) to check and update the status for each activity and task, and to adjust timelines as needed.						
Recommendation t	0:		Priority level (1 to 3):			
Project management		1				
Management respo	onse (Accept/Partia	ally Accept/Re	ject)			
<b>Partially Accept.</b> A detailed workplan is an additional tool which helps the project management team to monitor the progress of the activities. Even without this tool, the team has been able to follow up and work on the different milestones. Result monitoring framework updated quarterly before the donor report helps the team in planning the activities.						
Key actions	Time frame or	Responsible		Implementation monitoring		
	deadline	unit(s)		Comments or action taken	Status	
Develop a detailed workplan	July 2022	МРА				
Evaluation recommendation 5: In the coming months, IOM is recommended to review its reporting practices and identify areas where improvements may feasibly be made under this project, as well as for other ongoing and future projects.						
Recommendation t	0:		Priority level (1 to 3):			
Project management			2			
Management respo	onse (Accept/Partia	ally Accept/Re	ject)			
Accept						
Key actions				Implementati	on monitoring	



	Time frame or	Responsible		Comments or action	Status
	deadline	unit(s)		taken	
Meeting with an	June 2022	MPA and PS	U		
incoming M&E					
Officer to discuss					
monitoring					
activities to					
improve					
reporting					
		-		IOM is recommended	-
		ovide direct a		ance in coordination w	ith the Agency.
Recommendation t	0:		Prio	rity level (1 to 3):	
Project manageme	nt		3		
Management respo	onse (Accept/Partia	ally Accept/Re	ject)		
Accept					
Key actions	Time frame or	•		Implementati	on monitoring
	deadline	unit(s)		Comments or action	Status
	deadline	unit(s)		Comments or action	Status
	deadline	unit(s)		Comments or action taken	Status
Draft IP	deadline May 2022	unit(s) MPA			Status
Draft IP agreements with					Status
agreements with Ndako ya biso					Status
agreements with					Status
agreements with Ndako ya biso and ASSODIP Evaluation recom	May 2022 mendation 7: By	MPA the end of t	he p		
agreements with Ndako ya biso and ASSODIP Evaluation recomi sustainability pros	May 2022 mendation 7: By pects of the proje	MPA the end of t		taken roject, IOM is recomn	
agreements with Ndako ya biso and ASSODIP Evaluation recom	May 2022 mendation 7: By pects of the proje	MPA the end of t		taken	
agreements with Ndako ya biso and ASSODIP Evaluation recomi sustainability pros	May 2022 mendation 7: By pects of the proje o:	MPA the end of t		taken roject, IOM is recomn	
agreements with Ndako ya biso and ASSODIP Evaluation recomi sustainability pros Recommendation t	May 2022 mendation 7: By pects of the proje o: nt	MPA the end of t ct.	Prio 2	taken roject, IOM is recomn	



Key actions	Time frame or	Responsible		Implementati	on monitoring
	deadline	unit(s)		Comments or action taken	Status
Add an analysis	July 2022	MPA			
on what actions					
needed to					
support					
governmental					
partners in donor					
reports					
Evaluation recommendation 8: By the end of the project, IOM is recommended to ensure the learning from the piloting of the direct assistance component is captured and shared with a relevant partners					
Recommendation t	0:		Prio	rity level (1 to 3):	
Project management			2		
Management respo	onse (Accept/Partia	ally Accept/Re	ject)		
Accept					
Key actions	Time frame or deadline	Responsible unit(s)		Implementati	on monitoring
	ueaume			Comments or action taken	Status
Compile lessons	February 2023	MPA			
learned and good					
practices					
Evaluation recommendation 9: By the end of the project, IOM is recommended to revisit the sustainability prospects and plans for reintegration support (Output 3.2).					
Recommendation to:			Priority level (1 to 3):		
Project management, Senior management			1	,	
Management response (Accept/Partially Accept/Reject)					



Accept						
Key actions	Time frame or	Responsible unit(s)		Implementation monitoring		
	deadline			Comments or action taken	Status	
Engage with the Government to reflect upon the reintegration support for VoTs	N/A	MPA				
Evaluation recommendation 10: By the end of the project, IOM is recommended to integrate reflection on effectiveness of reintegration services under this project into the planned discussions on policy formulation on direct assistance.					-	
Recommendation t	0:		Priority level (1 to 3):			
Project management, Senior management		ment	1			
Management respo	onse (Accept/Partia	ally Accept/Re	ject)			
Accept						
Key actions	Time frame or	Responsible		Implementati	nentation monitoring	
	deadline	unit(s)		Comments or action taken	Status	
Engage with the Government to advocate for the reintegration support	N/A	MPA				