

This quality control tool is to be used by the evaluator and the evaluation manager to ensure that all quality requirements are met, while indicating the extent to which each listed element is included and aligned with the terms of reference. The quality of the Executive Summary will be reviewed separately and should be in line with the content of the final report (no summary is done for the draft report).

The IOM House Style Manual and the IOM Publication Layout Manual should be applied for all evaluations, noting also the specific requirements concerning references to some countries and use of maps.

<b>Evaluation Title:</b>	EVALUACIÓN TEMÁTICA DE LA ASISTENCIA HUMANITARIA DE EMERGENCIA DE LA OIM A PERSONAS REFUGIADAS Y MIGRANTES DE REPÚBLICA BOLIVARIANA DE VENEZUELA EN ECUADOR		
<b>Project code</b>	Not project specific evaluation   Funded by DP.2458.EC10	<b>Eval. conducted by:</b>	SIMMPEL ANALÍTICA DE DATOS
<b>Date:</b>	23 de noviembre 2022	<b>Rated by:</b>	Andrés Gutierrez   M&E Coordinator OIM Ecuador
ITEM	SCORING CRITERIA	USER COMMENTS	RATING*
<b>Section 4.1: CONTEXT AND BACKGROUND (Weight 7.5%)</b>			
CB1. Outline of contextual factors	1. Provides relevant context (e.g. socio-economic, political, environmental, social, technological, legal...)	En la sección 4.1 se aborda el contexto relevante para la evaluación; se parte desde una visión regional a la de país.	All listed elements are present but not all of them are complete
CB2. Clear and relevant description of key stakeholders	1. Rightholders 2. Implementing agency(ies) 3. Duty bearers/Responsibility holders	En la sección 5.2.1 se realiza un análisis de stakeholders y es construida con base en la matriz de análisis de	All listed elements are present and complete
CB3. Explanation of the evaluation subject background	1. Brief description of the evaluated subject (project, programme, strategy, policy or thematic area). i.e. purpose, objectives, outcomes, time period, geographical scope, etc. 2. Context and present situation of the subject, including references to relevant programmatic, policy or strategic frameworks (SDGs, GCM, SRF, etc.).	En las sección 4.1 se detalla cada una de las intervenciones que se consideran, en la sección 4.2 se aborda el propósito de la evaluación, así como los objetivos y los usos y usuarios de la evaluación, en la sección 4.3 se menciona el alcance el cual detalla la temática, los tipos de asistencias humanitarias, el alcance	All listed elements are present and complete
CB4. Description of intervention logic	1. Logical/results framework of the evaluated subject (project, programme, strategy, policy or thematic area). 2. The description of the causal logic and assumptions is accurate and complete - Theory of Change -.	En la sección 4.3.1 se detallan los criterios y preguntas de evaluación que fueron revisadas y validadas con el equipo de OIM en el proceso de evaluación.	The listed element(s) are not present
CB5. Funding arrangements	1. Reference to funding arrangements. 2. Specific contributions of the IOM.	En la sección 4.1 se detalla como la OIM ha contribuido , en el marco de su	Some listed elements are present and complete
<b>Section 4.2: EVALUATION BACKGROUND, SCOPE AND PURPOSE (Weight 5%)</b>			
EB1. Explanation of the purpose/objective of evaluation	1. Purpose (s)/objective. 2. Explanation of the evaluation purpose(s) /objective (why it is being done) and how it will be used (e.g. accountability, project improvement, organizational learning, promotion, steering and/or fundraising).	En la sección 4.2 se detalla el propósito de la evaluación, se incluyen los objetivos y los usos y usuarios de la misma	All listed elements are present but not all of them are complete
EB2. Description of evaluation scope	1. Geographic coverage 2. Timeframe 3. Thematic coverage	En la sección 4.3 se detalla el alcance de la evaluación, el cual incluye y describe la temática, los tipos de asistencias	All listed elements are present and complete
EB3. List of evaluation clients and main audiences of the report	1. Intended users (donors, implementing partners, etc.) 2. Intended use per client	En la sección 4.2 se detalla los usos y usuarios de la evaluación. Se menciona a la Oficina de OIM Ecuador,	All listed elements are present and complete
<b>Section 4.3 (a): EVALUATION APPROACH: CRITERIA &amp; QUESTIONS (Weight 5%)</b>			
CQ1. Evaluation criteria	1. Evaluation criteria used in line with ToR and Inception report, with justifications if not all criteria are used – main reference are the OECD/criteria. 2. Justification for the use of criteria if different from OCED/DAC (relevance, coherence, efficiency, effectiveness, impact or sustainability). E.g. Use of ALNAP criteria for humanitarian interventions such as appropriateness, coverage, coordination, connectedness or other.	La evaluación está en línea con lo detallado en los TDr, además se considera tres criterios, el de Eficiencia (OECD-DAC), Coordinación (ALNAP) y Adecuación (ALNAP) considerando lo mencionado por el Comité de Asistencia para el Desarrollo de la Organización para la Cooperación y el Desarrollo Económico (DAC-OECD) revisión del 2019 y en su adaptación para el caso de la evaluación de	All listed elements are present and complete

ITEM	SCORING CRITERIA	USER COMMENTS	RATING*
CQ2. Relevance of evaluation questions	1. The evaluation questions addressed the goals and purpose of the exercise. 2. Questions include cross-cutting issues.	En la sección 4.3.1 se detallan los criterios y preguntas de evaluación que fueron revisadas y validadas con el	All listed elements are present and complete
CQ3. Inclusion of an evaluation matrix	1. Evaluation matrix, including evaluation indicators and benchmarks). 2. Methods and sources of data per question are included in the evaluation matrix.	En la sección 9.2, en la matriz de evaluación se detalla el criterio, las preguntas y subpreguntas de evaluación, y para cada una se identifica los indicadores, fuentes de datos y los	All listed elements are present and complete
<b>Section 4.3 (b): EVALUATION METHODOLOGY (Weight 7.5%)</b>			<b>Satisfactory</b>
EM1. Statement of the evaluation design/approach.	1. Approach used (e.g. formative/summative evaluation, utilization-focused evaluation, participatory evaluation, process evaluation, real-time evaluation, theory-based evaluation, synthesis evaluation, etc.)	En la sección 5.1 se detalla el enfoque metodológico de la evaluación, se menciona que es una evaluación temática y cual es el tema que se aborda.	All listed elements are present and complete
EM2. Type of analysis considered	1. Type of analysis (e.g. qualitative data analysis, quantitative analysis, etc.). 2. Reliability assurance (e.g. triangulation of data collection methods, diverse evaluators, sources of sampling strategies, etc.) and how diverse perspectives are captured to ensure credibility.	En la sección 5.2 se incluye las fuentes de datos consideradas y los métodos de recolección usados (cuantitativo y cuantitativo) y con base en esto, en la sección 5.3 se aborda el análisis de datos realizado, cuantitativo y	All listed elements are present but not all of them are complete
EM3. Description of data collection methods and sources.	1. List of data collection methods used (e.g. document review, interviews, observation, surveys), primary and secondary sources, and why they were selected. 2. Description of different primary and secondary data sources.	En la sección 5.2 se especifica los métodos usados para la recolección de la información, se menciona las fuentes primarias y secundarias.	All listed elements are present and complete
EM4. Sampling procedures	1. The sampling procedures are described, including sample sizes and the mechanics for selecting the subjects. 2. Justification for the selection.	En la sección 5.4 se detalla la muestra usada, para cada método. Se divide por sexo la información y se justifica la información presentada	All listed elements are present but not all of them are complete
EM5. Inclusion of relevant cross-cutting issues	1. Gender 2. Rights-based approach (RBA) 3. Environmental sustainability 4. Disability 5. Protection 6. Accountability for Affected Populations (AAP)	En la sección 4.3.2 se detalla cómo se incluyó el análisis transversal de enfoque de derechos humanos y género en la evaluación.	All listed elements are present but not all of them are complete
EM6. Stakeholder participation	1. Include a description of the stakeholders and the rationale for their participation. 2. Indicate stakeholders' participation level during the evaluation (design, implementation, plans for feedback, dissemination and use).	En la sección 5.2.1 se realiza un análisis de stakeholders con base en la matriz de análisis de stakeholders. Se incluye la etapa de evaluación en la que participó, u de que manera lo hizo.	All listed elements are present but not all of them are complete
EM7. Limitations of the evaluation	1. Explains limitations of the evaluations due to the context, methodology, data sources, sampling, team, bias (e.g. selection bias, recall bias, unobservable differences between comparator groups, etc.). 2. Mitigation strategies for the identified limitations.	En la sección 5.5 se incluyen las limitaciones de la evaluación, así como las medidas de mitigación que fueron realizadas.	All listed elements are present but not all of them are complete
EM8. Description of evaluation norms and standards	1. Describe how the UNEG ethical principles of respect and beneficence were applied. 2. Describe how the data protection principles were explicitly addressed, including how informed consent was obtained and how personal identification data was removed.	En la sección 5.4 se detalla respecto a los Principios de Protección de Datos de la OIM, las normas y estándares de evaluación del Grupo de Evaluación de Naciones Unidas (UNEG, por sus siglas en inglés), específicamente los relativos	All listed elements are present and complete
<b>Section 5: EVALUATION FINDINGS (Weight 25%)</b>			<b>Somewhat satisfactory</b>
F1. Completeness	1. All evaluation criteria and questions are addressed. 2. Findings aligned with purpose, questions and approach. 3. Evidence can be traced through the analysis. Findings are presented as analysed facts, evidence, and data, and not based on anecdotes, hearsay, or a compilation of people's opinions.	En la sección 6.1 se detallan los hallazgos, y estos están divididos considerando cada uno de los criterios y por cada pregunta de evaluación. Se incorpora el hallazgo y se presenta el sustento del mismo, y se evidencia la triangulación de la información de métodos.	All listed elements are present and complete

ITEM	SCORING CRITERIA	USER COMMENTS	RATING*
F2. Robustness	1. Findings are specific, concise, and justified by evidence and valid analysis and interpretation. 2. Data is disaggregated by key variables. 3. All calculations have been verified. 4. Any omission of baselines and targets is justified	En la sección 6.1 se presenta, para cada pregunta y criterio abordado, un primer cuadro con la sistematización de los hallazgos, son específicos, concisos y posteriormente, para cada uno se presenta la evidencia que lo sustenta,	Some listed elements are present and complete
F3. Identification of causal factors leading to accomplishments and failures	1. The causal factors leading to achievement or non-achievement of results are clearly identified. 2. Description of unintended effects whenever identified during the evaluation.	En la sección 4.3.1 se detallan los criterios y preguntas de evaluación que fueron revisadas y validadas con el equipo de OIM en el proceso de	At least one listed element is present but incomplete
F4. IOM Cross-cutting issues are adequately addressed	1. Reflect how gender, disability, rights-based approach and environmental issues and other relevant cross-cutting dimensions were incorporated in the data collection and analysis.	En la sección 4.3.2 se detalla la incorporación del análisis transversal de enfoque de derechos humanos y género en la evaluación.	All listed elements are present and complete
<b>Section 6.1: CONCLUSIONS (Weight 25%)</b>			<b>Satisfactory</b>
C1. Value	1. Conclusions are clearly linked to findings. 2. Provide insights and add value to related findings.	En la sección 7 se presentan las conclusiones, estas responden a las preguntas de evaluación brindando información clave y se detalla a que	All listed elements are present and complete
C2. Reasoned	1. Conclusions are grounded in facts and reflect reasonable critical thinking and evaluative judgments to withstand criticism generated by value judgments. 2. Judgments are to the extent possible objective.	Las conclusiones son construidas de manera objetiva, clara y con base en los hallazgos. No se evidencian juicios de valor.	All listed elements are present and complete
<b>Section 6.2: RECOMMENDATIONS (Weight 25%)</b>			<b>Satisfactory</b>
R1. Clarity	1. Are clear and concise (i.e. one or two sentences followed by explanatory text, if needed). 2. Are based on and directly linked to findings and/or conclusions of the report. 3. Are clustered and prioritized.	En la sección 7 se detallan las recomendaciones por cada dimensión, las mismas que responden a una conclusión en particular y se conectan con los hallazgos mencionados. Se presentan	All listed elements are present and complete
R2. Relevance	1. Address key issues and are useful, tied to the subject and purposes of the evaluation. 3. Are fair, unbiased, impartial, practical, will cause no harm, and are not excessively prescriptive. 2. Take into account the context. 3. Address cross-cutting issues.	Los hallazgos, conclusiones y recomendaciones consideran el contexto analizado, los enfoques transversales y responden a los objetivos y propósitos de la evaluación. Además, son detallados de manera imparcial y con base en evidencia.	All listed elements are present and complete
R3. Responsibility	1. Specify who is called upon to act (office, unit, department, etc.). 2. Identify means for achievement (human, financial, material, etc.). 3. Specify priority or importance (low, medium, high).	En la sección 7, se detalla de manera clara las conclusiones y recomendaciones, el nivel de importancia que tienen y a quien está dirigida.	All listed elements are present and complete
R4. Actionability	1. Recommendations are practical, action-oriented, specific, and time-bound. 2. Indicate the specific courses of action needed to remedy/or continue with the current situation. 3. Recommend a time frame.	Las recomendaciones proponen, por un lado, mantener y/o fortalecer acciones actuales, y por otro, considerar nuevas acciones a tomar en cuenta, con base en los hallazgos y conclusiones.	Some listed elements are present and complete
<b>Section 6.3 (a): LESSONS LEARNED - (Weight 0% - Optional) Lessons learned are generalizations based on evaluation experiences that abstract from specific circumstances to broader situations.</b>			<b>Satisfactory</b>
LL1. Relevance	1. Lessons learned are derived from findings. 2. Lessons learned represent a relevant (non-trivial)/ new piece of information to be considered in the future.	En la sección 2.1 se detalla las lecciones aprendidas que se describen a partir de los hallazgos. Y son relevantes para futuras acciones.	All listed elements are present and complete
LL2. Delimitation	1. The lessons concisely capture the context from which they were derived. 2. lessons learned target specific users.	En la ficha de la lección aprendida se detalla información de contexto que permite entenderla, y son construidas	All listed elements are present but not all of them are complete
LL3. Applicability	1. The lessons suggest what should be repeated or avoided in future contexts to guide action. 2. The lessons learned include causal factors.	En la ficha de la lección aprendida se incluye la sección sobre lo que originó la experiencia, así como las ventajas de su aplicación, esto con fines a una guía de	All listed elements are present but not all of them are complete
<b>Section 6.3 (b): GOOD PRACTICES (Weight 0% - Optional) A good practice has been proven to work well and produce good results and is therefore recommended as a model. It is a successful experience which has been tested and validated.</b>			<b>Satisfactory</b>

ITEM	SCORING CRITERIA	USER COMMENTS	RATING*
GP1. Delimitation	1. The good practices concisely capture the contexts from which they were derived. 2. The good practices specify target users.	En la sección 2.2 se consolida las buenas prácticas, que nacen de las acciones identificadas y relacionadas con los hallazgos de la evaluación. La ficha	All listed elements are present and complete
GP2. Applicability & replicability	1. The statements describe how the good practices should be implemented and by whom. 2. The good practices explore the applicability in different contexts.	En la ficha de las buenas prácticas se detalla como esta fue desarrollada, los actores clave, sus recomendaciones y dentro de los criterios de validación se	All listed elements are present but not all of them are complete
GP3. Impact	1. The good practice demonstrates a link to specific impacts. 2. The different impacts identified are viable / possible (realistic)	En la ficha de las buenas prácticas se detalla el impacto de la misma, así como las ventajas de su cumplimiento.	All listed elements are present but not all of them are complete
<b>Overall rating:</b>		<b>Satisfactory</b>	
<b>% of satisfactory or highly satisfactory sections:</b>		78%	
<b>Overall comments:</b>			

Adapted from the IOM Meta-evaluation 2017-2019 (2020), and from the IOM M&E guidelines (2021), section 5.8.

\*Selected options are rated from zero to five as follows: "5" if all listed elements are present, complete and interconnected; "4" if all listed elements are present and complete; "3" if all listed elements are present but not all of them are complete; "2" if some listed elements are present and complete; "1" if at least one listed elements is present but incomplete; and "0" if the listed element(s) are not present. Items are weighted equally within each section, and each section has a different weight as shown in the table. The overall rating is calculated as follows: Highly satisfactory (from 4.13 to 5); Satisfactory (from 3.33 to 4.13); Somewhat satisfactory (from 2.5 to 3.33); Somewhat unsatisfactory (from 1.67 to 2.5); Unsatisfactory (from 0.83 to 1.67); and Highly unsatisfactory (from 0 to 0.83).