

Template for a Management Follow-up Response Matrix

Evaluation title/year: Ex-Post Evaluation of the *Migrant Registration Support for Tanzania* (MiREG) project (TZ10P0503/IB.0080), December 2022

Person or entity responsible for completing the management follow-up response matrix:

David Hofmeijer, Programme Coordinator

registered

migrants

Evaluation recommendation 1: Continue advocacy with the government on the status determination process, requesting to release the data on how many migrants of those who had undergone the registration in Tanga have had their status determined. Further advocacy and dialogue with the government is needed to confirm what impact the project had on the 5,689 irregular migrants in Tanga who were registered under this project.

Recommendation to: IOM			Priority level (1 to 3): 3		
Management respo	onse (<mark>Accept</mark> /Partiall	y Accept/Reject)			
Key action	Time frame or deadline	Responsible individual or unit	•	ion monitoring	
			Comments or action taken	Status	
We will continue to advocate for the status determination of	2022 Onwards	Chief of Mission	Do not complete this column when you are first	Do not complete this column when you are first	

preparing the

matrix. Complete

the column when

following up and

monitoring the

implementation

of the action. At

this time, insert comments and/or preparing the

matrix. Complete

the column when

following up and

monitoring the

implementation

of the action. Indicate the

status of the



_			
		description of the	activity (choose
		action taken.	from: initiated;
			not initiated;
			completed; or no
			longer
			applicable).

Evaluation recommendation 2: During the project design phase, actively engage the government partners in the development of the proposal, workplan, and budget. If a project is designed without sufficient input from stakeholders, there are likely to be oversights or miscalculations, both in terms of designing activities that accurately reflect the government's priorities and in terms of budgeting.

Recommendation t	io: IOM	F	Priority level (1 to 3): 3		
Management respo	onse (<mark>Accept</mark> /Partiall	y Accept/Reject)			
Key action	Time frame or deadline	Responsible individual or unit(on monitoring Status (Choose from: initiated; not initiated; completed; no longer applicable)	
We will continue engaging government stakeholders from the project inception stage	Continuous	Chief of Mission/Programr e Coordinator/Proje t staff	Specify the time	Completed – will be done continuously from here one.	



Evaluation recommendation 3: Consider including a pre-project assessment of beneficiary needs at project design stage and pre-project national consultation at the beginning of implementation to ensure continued engagement with stakeholders and beneficiaries.

Recommendation to: IOM

Management response (Accept/Partially Accept/Reject)

Key actions	Time frame or deadline	Responsible individual or unit(s)		on monitoring
			Comments or action taken	Status
We will consider including a pre- project assessment of beneficiary needs at project design stage and pre- project national consultation at the beginning of implementation.	Continuous	Programme Coordinator/Projec t staff		Completed – wil be done continuously from here one.
government owne in and internalizat members in the pr	rship) of the project t tion of the project o oject steering comm	for the sustainability objectives and result hittee to improve pro		act by ensuring buy
Recommendation t	to: IOM onse (<mark>Accept</mark> /Partiall		riority level (1 to 3): 2	

Key actions		Implementation monitoring



	Time frame or deadline Continuous	Responsible individual or unit	Comments or action taken :(s)	Status
Project target communities will be engaged and sensitized on the project's objectives to ensure by-in and sustainability. There may be a challenges, however, when dealing with hard-line counterparts, however, who may not see the value in engaging communities; the relationship between communities and security forces in Tanzania is very top-down.	Continuous	Programme Coordinator/Pro t staff	jec	Completed – will be done continuously from here one.
request letters of	endorsement and	design a joint wo	ments officially at proj rkplan with the goverr ponsibilities of the proje	ment to avoid any
Recommendation t			Priority level (1 to 3): 3	
Management respo	onse (Accept/Partially	y Accept/ <mark>Reject</mark>)		



Key actions	Time frame or deadline	Responsible individual or unit(s)	Implementati	on monitoring
	deddinie		Comments or	Status
			action taken	
Project	During project	Programme		Not initiated.
Documents are	inception/develo	Coordinator		
proprietary	pment			
information				
between IOM				
and its donor(s).				
It would be				
improper to				
share this				
proprietary				
information with				
third parties.				
Rather, an				
adapted				
'operational'				
document for the				
project could be				
developed. A				
joint workplan				
can indeed be				
designed, which				
is not necessarily				
a part of a				
Project				
Document.				
Alternatively,				
tripartite				
agreements can				
be signed				
between the				
donor,				



government, IOM.						
Evaluation recommendation 6: Future interventions should make informed choices between wide but shallow and narrow but deep activity coverage, considering the available budget, the government's preferences and a realistic project timeframe.						
Recommendation t	co: IOM		Pric	ority level (1 to 3): 3	
Management respo	onse (<mark>Accept</mark> /Partiall ^y	y Accept/Reject)				
Key actions	Time frame or deadline	Responsible uni	t(s)		ntati	on monitoring
				Comments action taken	or	Status
IOM will engage the government and jointly decide between wide but shallow and narrow but deep activity coverage, considering the available budget, the government's preferences and a realistic project timeframe	During project inception/develo pment	Programme Coordinator				Completed

Evaluation recommendation 7: A sustainability plan or exit strategy should be developed to support the continuation of results after a project end. The plan should include clear roles and responsibilities of the management and use of project products, information sharing, and maintenance of hardware and software. The exit strategy should be adequately designed and related to the project results, and not funding. Further, consider budgeting for the Steering Committee to continue meeting after the project has concluded to continue monitoring of the project's impact on registered migrants and the progress of the status determination process results.



Recommendation	to: IOM	Pr	iority level (1 to 3): 3	
Management resp	onse (Accept/ <mark>Partiall</mark>	v Accept/Reject)		
Key actions	Time frame or deadline	Responsible unit(s)	Implementatio	on monitoring
			Comments or action taken	Status
A Project Sustainability plan/Exit Strategy will be developed for all future projects that are beyond USD 300,000 in value.	In the last quarter of all projects that are ending.	Programme Coordinator	Given IOM's project-based nature, it is highly unlikely that we can commit to budgeting for the Steering Committee to continue meeting after the project has concluded. It is also debatable whether Sustainability plan/ Exit Strategy is needed for smaller projects (less than USD 300,000 in size).	Completed



Evaluation recommendation 8: Strengthen the engagement of key government stakeholders in programming and activities as partners, and not participants, in any future trainings and workshops with government officials, especially at the pre-project needs assessment stage.

Recommendation t	:o: IOM		Prio	ority level (1 to 3): 3	
Management respo	onse (<mark>Accept</mark> /Partiall	y Accept/Reject)			
Key actions	Time frame or deadline	Responsible unit	t(s)	Implementat	ion monitoring
				Comments or action taken	Status
We will continue engaging the government in project needs assessments prior to implementation of project activities.	Timeframe varies	Programme Coordinator/Pro t Staff	_	rojects in Tanzania	Completed
	egy is in place to info	-	-	•	-
-	donors' decisions o				
sustainability.					
Recommendation t	o: IOM		Prio	ority level (1 to 3): 2	
Management respo	onse (<mark>Accept</mark> /Partiall	y Accept/Reject)			
Key actions	Time frame or deadline	Responsible unit	t(s)	Implementat	ion monitoring
				Comments or action taken	Status



In the event a	N/A – only in the	Programme	Not initiated
new project of	event a new	Coordinator	
the same nature,	project of the		
we will reach out	same nature		
to neighbouring			
Missions and			
organize an			
online meeting to			
inform project			
design,			
stakeholder			
consultations,			
areas for priority			
interventions.			

migrant registration with integration programming in countries of origin to support migrants who will opt for Assisted Voluntary Return and Reintegration. Consider regular coordination activities with neighbouring IOM missions, e.g. IOM Burundi, to ensure sufficient information exchange and coordination during the project design and project implementation.

Priority level (1 to 3): 2

Management response (Accept/Partially Accept/Reject)

Key actions	Time frame or deadline	Responsible unit(s)	Implementation monitoring	
			Comments or action taken	Status
In the event a	N/A – only in the	Chief of Mission /		Not initiated
new project of	event a new	Programme		
the same nature,	project of the	Coordinator		
we will take a	same nature			
coordinated				
regional				
cooperation				
approach by				



registration with integration					
programming in					
countries of					
origin to support					
migrants who will					
opt for Assisted					
Voluntary Return					
and					
Reintegration.					
Evaluation recommendation 11: Build on existing political commitment and IOM's established					
presence in Tanzania to continue support efforts towards status determination of irregular migrants.					
Since the evaluation failed to collect evidence directly from the migrant communities, it is					

evidence base for the project' impact.

Recommendation to:	Priority level (1 to 3): 1

Management response (Accept/Partially Accept/Reject)

Key actions	Time frame or deadline		Responsible unit(s)	Implementation monitoring		
				Comments or action taken	Status	
In principle we will continue to support efforts towards status determination of irregular migrants.	Continuous		Chief of Mission / Programme Coordinator		Initiated	
We will attempt to collect impact stories, case studies to help						



build the		
evidence base for		
the project's		
impact, while		
accounting for		
the sensitivities		
as relates to the		
Immigration		
Department		